


In filing the Continuation application, a Communication Regarding Drawings was filed concurrently therewith. The Communication indicated that the drawing changes should be disregarded. However, in a Preliminary Amendment submitted with the Continuation Application, the specification was changed to correspond with the revised drawings. The above change simply requests that an erroneous specification modification be deleted. That is, Box 100 is not to appear in Figs. 4A and 4B.

As to the separate labeling of Figs. 3 and 4, it is believed this change is uncontroversial and appropriate. Accordingly, the specification changes regarding the separate labeling of Figs. 3 and 4 have not been eliminated. The undersigned's Draftsman has been requested to separately label Figs. 3 and 4, but not to add box 100 to the drawings.

It is hoped that the above-outlined course of action will render the drawings fully acceptable to the Examiners and to the Patent Office Draftsman. However, if there are any problems regarding the drawings, the Examiners are requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these problems.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY

By: 
Mark J. Henry
Registration No. 36,162

Suite 500
700 Eleventh St., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001
(202) 434-1500
Date: Dec 1, 1998